Action Plan	SASMC Decision
SUPERFUND PROGRAM REVIEW RECOMMENDATIONS	
Action Plan: Use of special accounts for oversight costs	
 a. Emphasize the use of special accounts for payroll (and possibly travel) associated with oversight activities as part of the site-specific charging fact sheet OSRE is developing. Include a reminder in the fact sheet that special account balances have to be closely monitored when charging payroll as negative account balances are typically the result of charging payroll to a special account after the account has been depleted. Regions should carefully monitor special account balances where payroll is being charged and correct any negative balances identified during OFS' quarterly reviews, which will require staff resources to effectively monitor. In order to facilitate use of special accounts for payroll, discuss developing a site charging cheat sheet for RPMs, OSCs, enforcement, legal and other regional staff as part of the fact sheet currently being developed. OSRE is leading the effort to draft the fact sheet in coordination with the SASMC. The regional special accounts network, which includes regional program, finance, and legal staff that work on special accounts, will have an opportunity to review and comment on the draft fact sheet before it is finalized. Pending approval by the SASMC, goal would be to issue the fact sheet by December 2013. 	In process – draft fact sheet sent to regional and Headquarters special accounts network for review and comment

Action Plan	SASMC Decision
 Encourage regions to use special account dollars for oversight activities through a memorandum from the OSRTI Office Director (on behalf of the SASMC) to regional Superfund Division 	
Directors, Regional Counsel, and ARAs. OSRTI will take the lead in drafting the memo in	
coordination with the SASMC. A draft of the memo will be shared with the regional special	
accounts network for their review and input prior to finalization. Pending approval by the	
SASMC, goal would be to issue the memo by January 2014. The memo should:	
 Take credit for increased use of special account dollars for these activities already, but 	
acknowledge there is room for improvement.	
 Encourage activities meant to increase special account use for oversight but recognize regions need flexibility. 	
 Emphasize oversight activities for Superfund Alternative sites should generally be funded from special accounts. 	
iv. Emphasize the need for oversight billings to be done in a timely manner so that EPA has	
funding to continue oversight. Remind regions to evaluate oversight payments and current	
special account balances prior to placement of funds in the special account, as the oversight	
payments typically include both direct and indirect charges. A good management practice	
regions may want to consider is placing payments into the Superfund Trust Fund if the	
special account has enough funds for future activities at the time of the payment.	
 Encourage regions to use prepayment provisions in settlement agreements for oversight 	
activities per the December 22, 2006 prepayment guidance.	
vi. The 4/2/12 Effective Utilization memo currently states that funds may be retained for more	
than 15 years after site construction completion is achieved depending on site specific	
circumstances. Clarify in this memo that retaining and using funds in special accounts for	
oversight of PRPs is an appropriate site specific exception for retaining funds more than 15	
years after site construction completion.	
c. Modify the notification requirements so that regions are no longer required to notify Headquarters if	
they will be depositing funds in a special account rather than the Trust Fund where the original	
agreement is silent on special accounts. Instead, advise regions they should make a note in the site	
file of the change in where the payments are deposited. Regions would still be required to inform	
CFC, but this would be done through the accounts receivable process already established.	
 Discuss with the SASMC if this modification to the notification requirements needs to be a 	
separate transmittal amending the April 2011 Model Notifications memo or if it could be	
included as part of the memo discussed above. Decision to be made by the SASMC at their	
July 2013 meeting.	

Action Plan	SASMC Decision
d. Exemption 5 - Deliberative Process	No action is required by the SASMC on this
The Budget subgroup	proposal.
has proposed an action to review the Pipeline Allocation Model, and will take this into consideration	
when that effort gets underway.	
Action Plan: Use in-house resources if special account funds can't be used for oversight	No action is required by the SASMC on this
The Special Account subgroup proposed moving this recommendation to the In-house Resources subgroup.	proposal.
The use of in-house resources if special account resources are not available is outside the scope of special	
account guidance and policy.	
Action Plan: Close low balance special accounts	
Regional Superfund Division Directors should annually review open special accounts with less than \$10,000	
available for potential closure. Headquarters will provide a list of accounts that should be reviewed as part of	
the annual work planning special account data review. Headquarters will filter the open accounts with less	
than \$10,000 available to include only those where no future deposits are expected (post transition to	
SEMS). This review will be done in coordination with the Regional Superfund Division Director review of	
accounts with more than \$1 million available or \$0 obligated/disbursed in the past 5 years, and an update to	
the Monitoring Plan for Special Account Planning Data will incorporate these changes.	
Action Plan: Evaluate future work requirement for special accounts	
Because of the issues identified above, the subgroup recommends discussing this recommendation with the Special Accounts Senior Management Committee at their July 2013 meeting Exemption 5 - Deliberative Process	
Special Accounts Semor Management Committee at their July 2013 meeting	
If the SASMC agrees to proceed, SASMC staff will develop an issue paper for	
OGC requesting a legal analysis of the future work requirement.	
OTHER ISSUES	
Proposed Action(s): Use of special accounts for Contract Lab Program	In process – OSRTI is working with Regions
OSRTI will conduct a pilot in FY 2013 to reimburse CLP costs from the prior budget fiscal year with special	1 and 2 on pilot
account funds. An SV document will be used with a unique identifier to allow for tracking of these costs.	P
After completion of the pilot and discussion with regions, OSRTI will conduct the reimbursement on an	
annual basis for the preceding budget fiscal year (i.e., in December 2013 OSRTI will prepare documentation	
with the regions to reimburse CLP lab analysis costs using BFY 2013 "T" funds with special account funds).	
Proposed Action(s): Deposit of funds in a special account after reclassification	
Discuss with the SASMC which approach they prefer:	
Reduce special account balances through reclassification/transfer if there are excess funds, and allow	
additional deposits in the special account after reclassification/transfer; or,	
• Reduce special account balances through reclassification/transfer if there are excess funds, but do not	
place additional funds in special accounts after the reclassification/transfer	
May want to distinguish between deposit of regular oversight payments, interest earned, and other	
payments (e.g., cost recovery settlements, cashouts, de minimis settlements)	

Action Plan	SASMC Decision
Proposed Action(s): Use of the WQ bulk funding action code for special account obligations	
Unless the SASMC identifies any concerns, OSRTI will follow-up with Region 9 that "WQWQ" can be	
used in the 5 th – 8 th character lines of the accounting string for special account obligations, but expenditures	
must be done at the specific action/OU level.	
Proposed Action(s): Modify reclassification procedures	
Discuss with SASMC staff if what is required for a KV document could be reduced in order to minimize	
the number of lines required for reclassifications.	
Discuss with OSRTI management creating a team in OSRTI to develop KV documents where regions	
have identified funds as available for reclassification but the region does not want to develop the KV	
document.	
Proposed Action(s): Encourage reclassification/transfer and closure of accounts by providing an	
assurance of funding for future work	
Discuss options with the SASMC at their July 2013 meeting to address this concern.	
Exemption 5 - Deliberative Process	